### WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

MARTIN LIPTON HERBERT M. WACHTELL PAUL VIZCARRONDO, JR. PETER C. HEIN HAROLD S. NOVIKOFF MEYER G. KOPLOW THEODORE N. MIRVIS EDWARD D. HERLIHY DANIEL A. NEFF ANDREW R. BROWNSTEIN MICHAEL H. BYOWITZ PAUL K. ROWE MARC WOLINSKY DAVID GRUENSTEIN STEVEN A. ROSENBLUM STEPHANIE J. SELIGMAN JOHN F. SAVARESE SCOTT K. CHARLES JODI J. SCHWARTZ ADAM O. EMMERICH GEORGE T. CONWAY III

RALPH M. LEVENE RICHARD G. MASON MICHAEL J. SEGAL DAVID M. SILK ROBIN PANOVKA DAVID A. KATZ ILENE KNABLE GOTTS DAVID M. MURPHY JEFFREY M. WINTNER TREVOR S. NORWITZ BEN M. GERMANA ANDREW J. NUSSBAUM RACHELLE SILVERBERG STEVEN A. COHEN DEBORAH L. PAUL DAVID C. KARP RICHARD K. KIM JOSHUA R CAMMAKER MARK GORDON JOSEPH D. LARSON LAWRENCE S. MAKOW

51 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, N.Y. 10019-6150 TELEPHONE: (212) 403-1000 FACSIMILE: (212) 403-2000

> GEORGE A. KATZ (1965-1989) JAMES H. FOGELSON (1967-1991) LEONARD M. ROSEN (1965-2014)

#### OF COUNSEL

WILLIAM T. ALLEN
PETER C. CANELLOS
DAVID M. EINHORN
KENNETH B. FORREST
THEODORE GEWERTZ
MAURA R. GROSSMAN
RICHARD D. KATCHER
DOUGLAS K. MAYER
ROBERT B. MAZUR
PHILIP MINDLIN

ROBERT M. MORGENTHAU

DAVID S. NEILL
BERNARD W. NUSSBAUM
LAWRENCE B. PEDOWITZ
ERIC S. ROBINSON
PATRICIA A. ROBINSON\*
ERIC M. ROTH
MICHAEL W. SCHWARTZ
ELLIOTT V. STEIN
WARREN R. STERN
PATRICIA A. VLAHAKIS
AMY R. WOLF

\* ADMITTED IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

#### COUNSEL

DAVID M. ADLERSTEIN AMANDA K. ALLEXON LOUIS J. BARASH DIANNA CHEN ANDREW J.H. CHEUNG PAMELA EHRENKRANZ KATHRYN GETTLES-ATWA ADDAM M. GOGOLAK PAULA N. GORDON
NANCY B. GREENBAUM
MARK A. KOENIG
J. AUSTIN LYONS
ALICIA C. McCARTHY
SABASTIAN V. NILES
AMANDA N. PERSAUD
JEFFREY A. WATIKER

DIRECT DIAL: (212) 403-1235
DIRECT FAX: (212) 403-2000
E-Mail: JFSAVARESE@WLRK.COM

September 18, 2015

JEANNEMARIE O'BRIEN WAYNE M. CARLIN STEPHEN R. DIPRIMA NICHOLAS G. DEMMO IGOR KIRMAN JONATHAN M. MOSES DAVID A. SCHWARTZ JOHN F. LYNCH WILLIAM SAVITT ERIC M. ROSOF MARTIN J.E. ARMS GREGORY E. OSTLING DAVID B. ANDERS ANDREA K. WAHLQUIST ADAM J. SHAPIRO NELSON O. FITTS JOSHUA M. HOLMES DAVID E. SHAPIRO DAMIAN G. DIDDEN ANTE VUCIC

IAN BOCZKO
MATTHEW M. GUEST
DAVID E. KAHAN
DAVID K. LAM
BENJAMIN M. ROTH
JOSHUA A. FELTMAN
ELAINE P. GOLIN
EMIL A. KLEINHAUS
KARESSA L. CAIN
RONALD C. CHEN
GORDON S. MOODLE
DONGJU SONG
BRADLEY R. WILSON
GRAHAM W. MELI
GREGORY E. PESSIN
CARRIE M. REILLY
MARK F. VEBLEN
VICTOR GOLDFELD
EDWARD J. LEE
BRANDON C. PRICE
KEVIN S. SCHWARTZ

## BY CM/ECF

Honorable John G. Koeltl United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re: Friedman, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., et al., No. 15 Civ. 05899 (JGK)

Dear Judge Koeltl:

We represent the defendants in the above-referenced action. As Your Honor is aware, defendants moved to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint on September 11. D.E. 70, 71. We now write to inform the Court of a decision issued yesterday by the Middle District of Florida dismissing the related action, *Dusek* v. *JPMorgan Chase & Co.*, No. 14-cv-184, brought against JPMorgan on behalf of 38 "net winners" in Madoff's Ponzi scheme. *See id.*, ECF No. 82. The dismissed claims in *Dusek* were premised on the same factual allegations and legal theories asserted in this case, and the named plaintiffs in *Dusek* are members of the putative class in the present case. A copy of the opinion is attached.

The district court in *Dusek* dismissed with prejudice plaintiffs' "control person" claim under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, holding that (1) the claim was not timely

# 

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

September 18, 2015 Page 2

because it was filed more than five years after Madoff's arrest and thus was outside the statute of repose established in the Exchange Act, (2) plaintiffs failed, as a matter of law, to adequately allege that JPMorgan controlled Madoff's firm or his Ponzi scheme, and (3) as investors who profited from the fraud, plaintiffs had no cognizable damages under the federal securities laws. The court further held that plaintiffs' claim under the federal RICO Act was barred by the PSLRA provision precluding civil RICO claims predicated on allegations of securities fraud. In short, the court relied on the same grounds argued by the defendants in their motion to dismiss the federal claims in this action. *See slip op.* 32-51; D.E. 71, at 6-20.

The district court in *Dusek* also declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiffs' remaining state law claims and accordingly dismissed the action in its entirety. As a result, there is no longer a live action pending in the Middle District of Florida — the venue to which the plaintiffs here are seeking to re-transfer this case. *See* D.E. 66, 67.

Defendants will further address the impact of the *Dusek* decision as appropriate in their opposition to plaintiffs' re-transfer motion and in their reply in further support of their motion to dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,

John F. Savarese

Encl.

cc: All counsel of record (by ECF)